Strongly Summable Double Sequence Spaces in n -Normed Spaces Defined by Ideal Convergence and an Orlicz Function

AYHAN ESI

Adiyaman University, Science and Art Faculty, Department of Mathematics, 02040, Adiyaman, Turkey

e-mail : aesi23@hotmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce some new double sequence spaces via ideal convergence and an Orlicz function in n -normed spaces and examine some properties of the resulting spaces.

1. Introduction

Let X be a non-empty set, then a family of sets $I \subset 2^X$ (the class of all subsets of X) is called an ideal if and only if for each $A, B \in I$, we have $A \cup B \in I$ and for each $A \in I$ and each $B \subset A$, we have $B \in I$. A non-empty family of sets $F \subset 2^X$ is a filter on X if and only if $\emptyset \notin F$, for each $A, B \in F$, we have $A \cap B \in F$ and each $A \in F$ and each $A \subset B$, we have $B \in F$. An ideal I is called non-trivial ideal if $I \neq \emptyset$ and $X \notin I$. Clearly $I \subset 2^X$ is a non-trivial ideal if and only if $F = F(I) = \{X/A : A \in I\}$ is a filter on X . A non-trivial ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called admissible if and only if $\{\{x\} : x \in X\} \subset I$. Further details on ideals of 2^X can be found in Kostyrko, et.al [5]. The notion was further investigated by Salat et.al [13], Tripathy and Hazarika [21, 23, 15, 29], Tripathy and Mahanta [27] and others.

The notion of double sequences has been investigated from different aspect by Tripathy and Dutta [25], Tripathy and Sarma [22, 24, 30] and many others in recent years.

By the convergence of a double sequence we mean the convergence on the Pringsheim sense that is, a double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ has Pringsheim limit L (denoted by $P - \lim x = L$) provided that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{k,l} - L| < \varepsilon$ whenever $k, l > n$ Pringsheim [10]. We shall write more briefly as " P -convergent".

The double sequence $x = (x_{k,l})$ is bounded if there exists a positive number M such that $|x_{k,l}| < M$ for all k and l . Let l_{∞}^2 the space of all bounded double such that

$$\|x_{k,l}\|_{(\infty,2)} = \sup_{k,l} |x_{k,l}| < \infty.$$

Received January 9, 2011; revised September 14, 2011; accepted November 24, 2011.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40A99; Secondary 40A05.

Key words and phrases: P-convergent, n-normed space, Orlicz function.

Recall Krasnoselski and Rutickii [6] that an Orlicz function M is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function define for $x > 0$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $M(x) > 0$. If convexity of Orlicz function is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$ then this function is called the modulus function and characterized by Nakano [9]. It was further investigated from sequence space point of view by Ruckle [12], Tripathy and Chandra [28] and many others. An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2 -condition for all values u , if there exists $K > 0$ such that $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$, $u \geq 0$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let M be an Orlicz function which satisfies Δ_2 -condition and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $t \geq \delta$, we have $M(t) < K\delta^{-1}M(2)$ for some constant $K > 0$.*

Recently different classes of sequences have been introduced by using an Orlicz function and their algebraic and topological properties have been investigated by Et et.al. [1], Tripathy and Mahanta [18], Tripathy et.al. [20], Tripathy and Hazarika [15], Tripathy and Sarma [24, 30] and many others.

A double sequence space E is said to be solid or normal if $(\alpha_{k,l}x_{k,l}) \in E$, whenever $(x_{k,l}) \in E$ and for all double sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_{k,l})$ of scalars with $|\alpha_{k,l}| \leq 1$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension d , where $n \leq d$. A real-valued function $\|., \dots, .\|$ on X satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i) $\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| = 0$ if and only if $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ are linearly dependent,
- (ii) $\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|$ is invariant under permutation,
- (iii) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$,
- (iv) $\|(x_1 + x_1^i, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| \leq \|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\| + \|(x_1^i, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|$

is called an n -norm on X , and the pair $(X, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|)$ is called an n -normed space [3].

A trivial example of n -normed space is $X = \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following Euclidean n -norm:

$$\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_E = \text{abs} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_{11} \dots x_{1n} \\ \dots \\ x_{n1} \dots x_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The notion of n -norm from different aspect by Gahler [2], Gunawan and Mashadi [4], Misiak [8], Tripathy and Dutta [26] and many others.

2. Main results

In this section we introduce the notion of different types of I -convergent double sequences. This generalizes and unifies different notions of convergence for double sequences. We shall denote the ideal of $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ by I_2 .

The notion of paranormed sequence space was studied at the initial stage by Simons [14]. Later on different classes of paranormed sequence spaces were intro-

duced and their different properties were investigated by Maddox [7], Rath and Tripathy [11], Tripathy [17], Tripathy and Sen [16, 19] and many others.

Let I_2 be an ideal of $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$, M be an Orlicz function, $p = (p_{k,l})$ be a bounded double sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $(X, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|)$ be an n -normed space. Further $w(n-X)$ denotes X -valued sequence space. Now, we define the following double sequence spaces:

$$w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o = \left\{ \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} x = (x_{k,l}) \in w(n-X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \\ \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in I_2 \right\},$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for every $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$

$$w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|] = \left\{ \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} x = (x_{k,l}) \in w(n-X) : \forall \varepsilon > 0, \\ \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}-L}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \varepsilon \end{array} \right\} \in I_2 \right\},$$

for some $\rho > 0, L \in X$ and for every $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$

$$w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty = \left\{ \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \begin{array}{l} x = (x_{k,l}) \in w(n-X) : \exists K > 0, \\ \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq K \end{array} \right\} \in I_2 \right\}$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for every $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$

and

$$w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = (x_{k,l}) \in w(n-X) : \\ \exists K > 0, \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq K \end{array} \right\}.$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for every $z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X$

If $p_{k,l} = 1$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|] = w^{I_2}[M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]$, $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o = w^{I_2}[M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$, $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty = w^{I_2}[M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ and $w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty = w[M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$.

The following well-known inequality will be used in this study: If $0 \leq \inf_{k,l} p_{k,l} = H_o \leq p_{k,l} \leq \sup_{k,l} p_{k,l} = H < \infty$, $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, then

$$|x_{k,l} + y_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} \leq D \{|x_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} + |y_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}}\}$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_{k,l}, y_{k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$. Also $|x_{k,l}|^{p_{k,l}} \leq \max(1, |x_{k,l}|^H)$ for all $x_{k,l} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.1. *The sets $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$, $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]$ and $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ are linear spaces over the complex field $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We will prove only for $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_o]$ and the others can be proved similarly. Let $x, y \in w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_o]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2, \text{ for some } \rho_1 > 0$$

and

$$\left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \in I_2, \text{ for some } \rho_2 > 0.$$

Since $\| \cdot, \dots, \cdot \|$ is a n -norm and M is an Orlicz function, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{\alpha x_{k,l} + \beta y_{k,l}}{|\alpha| \rho_1 + |\beta| \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[\frac{|\alpha|}{|\alpha| \rho_1 + |\beta| \rho_2} M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \quad + \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[\frac{|\beta|}{|\alpha| \rho_1 + |\beta| \rho_2} M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \leq \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ & \quad + \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \end{aligned}$$

From the above inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{\alpha x_{k,l} + \beta y_{k,l}}{|\alpha| \rho_1 + |\beta| \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{D}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Two sets on the right hand side belong to I_2 and this completes the proof. $\square$

It is also easy verify that the space $w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_\infty]$ is also a linear space.

Theorem 2.2. For fixed $(n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, $w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_\infty]$ paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$g_{(n,m)}(x) = \inf \left\{ \rho^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \left(\sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \right)^{\frac{1}{H}} \leq 1, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X \right\}.$$

Proof. $g_{(n,m)}(\theta) = 0$ and $g_{(n,m)}(-x) = g_{(n,m)}(x)$ are easy to prove, so we omit them. Let us take $x, y \in w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|_\infty]$. Let

$$A(x) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}$$

and

$$A(y) = \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}.$$

Let $\rho_1 \in A(x)$ and $\rho_2 \in A(y)$. If $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l} + y_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right] \\ & \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho_1}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{y_{k,l}}{\rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l} + y_{k,l}}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_{(n,m)}(x + y) &= \inf \left\{ (\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_1 \in A(x) \text{ and } \rho_2 \in A(y) \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ (\rho_1)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_1 \in A(x) \right\} + \inf \left\{ (\rho_2)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_2 \in A(y) \right\} \\ &= g_{(n,m)}(x) + g_{(n,m)}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\lambda_{k,l}^u \rightarrow \lambda$, where $\lambda_{k,l}^u, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $g_{(n,m)}(x_{k,l}^u - x_{k,l}) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. We have to show that $g_{(n,m)}(\lambda_{k,l} x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}) \rightarrow 0$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. Let

$$A(x^u) = \left\{ \rho_u > 0 : \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}^u}{\rho_u}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}$$

and

$$A(x^u - x) = \left\{ \rho_u^i > 0 : \sup_{n,m} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}^u - x_{k,l}}{\rho_u^i}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1, \forall z \in X \right\}.$$

If $\rho_u \in A(x^u)$ and $\rho_u^i \in A(x^u - x)$ then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,l}^u x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \\ & \leq M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{\lambda_{k,l}^u x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}^u}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \\ & \quad + \left\| \left(\frac{\lambda x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda|}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|} M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}^u}{\rho_u}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{\rho_u^i |\lambda|}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|} M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}^u - x_{k,l}}{\rho_u^i}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this inequality, it follows that

$$\left[M \left(\left\| \frac{\lambda_{k,l}^u x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}}{\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|}, z \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq 1$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{(n,m)} (\lambda_{k,l}^u x_{k,l}^u - \lambda x_{k,l}) \\
&= \inf \left\{ (\rho_u |\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda| + \rho_u^i |\lambda|)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_u \in A(x^u) \text{ and } \rho_u^i \in A(x^u - x) \right\} \\
&\leq (|\lambda_{k,l}^u - \lambda|)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} \inf \left\{ (\rho_u)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_u \in A(x^u) \right\} \\
&\quad + (|\lambda|)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} \inf \left\{ (\rho_u^i)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} : \rho_u^i \in A(x^u - x) \right\} \\
&\leq \max \left\{ |\lambda|, (|\lambda|)^{\frac{p_{n,m}}{H}} \right\} g_{(n,m)} (x_{k,l}^u - x_{k,l}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence by our assumption the right hand side tends to zero as $u \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *It can be noted that $g = \inf_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} g_{(n,m)}$ also gives a paranorm on the above sequence spaces. However if one consider the sequence space $w[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ which is larger space than the space $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ the construction of the paranorm is not clear and we leave it as an open problem. However it should be noted that for a fixed $G \in I_2$, the space*

$$\begin{aligned}
& w_G[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty \\
&= \left\{ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x = (x_{k,l}) \in w(n-X) : \exists K > 0, \\ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \\ \sup_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}/F} \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq K \end{array} \right\} \in I_2 \right\} \\
&\quad \text{for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and for every } z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \in X
\end{aligned}$$

which is subspace of the space $w^{I_2}[M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ is a paranormed space with the paranorms $g_{(n,m)}$ for $(n, m) \notin G$ and $g_G = \inf_{(n,m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}/G} g_{(n,m)}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let M, M_1 and M_2 be Orlicz functions. Then we have*

- (i) $w^{I_2}[M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \subset w^{I_2}[M \circ M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$ provided that $p = (p_{k,l})$ is such that $H_o > 0$.
- (ii) $w^{I_2}[M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \cap w^{I_2}[M_2, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \subset w^{I_2}[M_1 + M_2, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$.

Proof. (i). Let $\inf_{k,l} p_{k,l} = H_o$. For given $\varepsilon > 0$, we first choose $\varepsilon_o > 0$ such that $\max \{\varepsilon_o^H, \varepsilon_o^{H_o}\} < \varepsilon$. Now using the continuity of M , choose $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $0 < t < \delta$ implies $M(t) < \varepsilon_o$. Let $x \in w^{I_2}[M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$. Now from the definition of the space $w^{I_2}[M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$, for some $\rho > 0$

$$A(\delta) = \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \delta^H \right\} \in I_2.$$

Thus if $(n, m) \notin A(\delta)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} < \delta^H \\ \Rightarrow & \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} < nm\delta^H, \\ \Rightarrow & \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} < \delta^H \text{ for all } k, l = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \Rightarrow & M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) < \delta \text{ for all } k, l = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence from above inequality and using continuity of M , we must have

$$M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right) < \varepsilon_o \text{ for all } k, l = 1, 2, \dots$$

which consequently implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} < nm \max \{ \varepsilon_o^H, \varepsilon_o^{H_o} \} < nm\varepsilon, \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \geq \varepsilon \right\} \subset A(\delta)$$

and so belongs to I_2 . This completes the proof.

(ii) Let $x \in w^{I_2} [M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \cap w^{I_2} [M_2, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$. Then the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{nm} \left[(M_1 + M_2) \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \\ \leq & \frac{D}{nm} \left[M_1 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} + \frac{D}{nm} \left[M_2 \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \end{aligned}$$

gives us the result. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. (i) If $0 < \inf_{k,l} p_{k,l} = H_o \leq p_{k,l} < 1$, then $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \subset w^{I_2} [M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$.

- (ii) If $1 \leq p_{k,l} \leq \sup_{k,l} p_{k,l} = H < \infty$, then $w^{I_2} [M, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \subset w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$.
- (iii) If $0 < p_{k,l} < q_{k,l} < \infty$ and $\frac{q_{k,l}}{p_{k,l}}$ is bounded, then $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o \subset w^{I_2} [M, q, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$.

Proof. The proof is standard, so we omit it. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. The sequence spaces $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$, $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]$, $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ and $w [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_\infty$ are solid.

Proof. We give the proof for only $w^{I_2} [M, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$. The others can be proved similarly. Let $x \in w^{I_2} [M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_{k,l})$ be a double sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_{k,l}| \leq 1$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{\alpha_{k,l} x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ \subset \left\{ (n, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \frac{T}{nm} \sum_{k,l=1,1}^{m,n} \left[M \left(\left\| \left(\frac{x_{k,l}}{\rho}, z_1, \dots, z_{n-1} \right) \right\| \right) \right]^{p_{k,l}} \leq \varepsilon \right\} \in I_2,$$

where $T = \max_{k,l} \{1, |\alpha_{k,l}|^H\}$. Hence $\alpha x \in w^{I_2} [M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$ for all double sequences $\alpha = (\alpha_{k,l})$ with $|\alpha_{k,l}| \leq 1$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $x \in w^{I_2} [M_1, p, \|(\cdot, \dots, \cdot)\|]_o$. $\square$

References

- [1] M. Et, Y. Altin, B. Choudhary and B. C. Tripathy, *On some classes of sequences defined by sequences of Orlicz functions*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, **9**(2)(2006), 335-342.
- [2] S. Gahler, *Linear 2-normietre Rume*, Math.Nachr., **28**(1965), 1-43.
- [3] H. Gunawan, *On n-inner product, n-norms and the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae Online, **5**(2001), 47-54.
- [4] H. Gunawan and M. Mashadi, *On n-normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **27**(10)(2001), 631-639.
- [5] P. Kostyrko, T. Salat and W. Wilczynski, *I-convergence*, Real Analysis Exchange, **26**(2)(2000/2001), 669-686.
- [6] M. A. Krasnoselski and Y. B. Rutickii, *Convex function and Orlicz spaces*, Groningen, Nederland, 1961.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **64**(1968), 285-290.

- [8] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., **140**(1989), 299-319.
- [9] H. Nakano, *Concave modulars*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **5**(1953), 29-49.
- [10] A. Pringsheim, *Zur Theori der zweifach unendlichen Zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann. **53**(1900), 289-321.
- [11] D. Rath and B. C. Tripathy, *Matrix maps on sequence spaces associated with sets of integers*, Indian Jour. Pure Appl. Math., **27**(2)(1996), 197-206.
- [12] W. H. Ruckle, *FK-spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded*, Canad. J. Math., **25**(1973), 973-978.
- [13] T. Salat, B. C. Tripathy and M. Ziman, *On I-convergence field*, Italian J. Pure and Appl. Math., **17**(2005), 45-54.
- [14] S. Simons, *The sequence space $l(p_v)$ and $m(p_v)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc., **15**(3)(1965), 422-436.
- [15] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *I-convergent sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function*, Acta Mathematica Applicatae Sinica, **27**(1)(2001), 149-154.
- [16] B. C. Tripathy and M. Sen, *On generalized statistically convergent sequences*, Indian Jour. Pure Appl. Math., **32**(11)(2001), 1689-1694.
- [17] B. C. Tripathy, *On generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences*, Indian Jour. Pure Appl. Math., **35**(5)(2004), 655-663.
- [18] B. C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, *On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function*, Acta Mathematica Applicatae Sinica, (Eng.Ser.), **20**(2)(2004), 231-238.
- [19] B. C. Tripathy and M. Sen, *Characterization of some matrix classes involving paranormed sequence spaces*, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, **37**(2)(2006), 155-162.
- [20] B. C. Tripathy, Y. Altin and M. Et, *Generalized difference sequence spaces on seminormed spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Mathematica Slovaca, **58**(3)(2008), 315-324.
- [21] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *I-convergent sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequence spaces*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications, **11**(3)(2008), 543-548.
- [22] B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, *Statistically convergent difference double sequence spaces*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, **24**(5)(2008), 737-742.
- [23] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *Paranormed I-convergent sequence spaces*, Mathematica Slovaca, **59**(4)(2009), 485-494.
- [24] B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, *Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function*, Mathematica Slovaca, **59**(6)(2009), 767-776.
- [25] B. C. Tripathy and A. J. Dutta, *Bounded variation double sequence space of fuzzy real numbers*, Computers & Mathematics with Applications, **59**(2)(2010), 1031-1037.
- [26] B. C. Tripathy and H. Dutta, *On some new paranormed difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, **50**(2010), 59-69.
- [27] B. C. Tripathy and S. Mahanta, *On I-acceleration convergence of sequences*, Journal of the Franklin Institute, **347**(2010), 591-598.

- [28] B. C. Tripathy and P. Chandra, *On some generalized paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences defined by modulus function*, Analysis in Theory and Applications, **27**(1)(2011), 21-27.
- [29] B. C. Tripathy and B. Hazarika, *I-monotonic and I-convergent sequences*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, **51**(2)(2011), 233-239.
- [30] B. C. Tripathy and B. Sarma, *Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 31B(1)(2011), 134-140.